arXiv:1202.6610v1 [math.DG] 29 Feb 2012

A NOTE ON THE DIRICHLET METRIC FOR THE SPACE OF KÄHLER METRICS

SIMONE CALAMAI AND KAI ZHENG

Abstract. This work is the proposal for a Riemannian structure on the space of Kähler metrics, which we call *Dirichlet metric*. We motivate its study, we compute its curvature, and we make links with the Calabi metric, the K-energy, the complex Hessian equation.

1. Introduction

Let us be given a compact smooth manifold M without boundary. We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the manifold of Riemmannian structures on M; a point $g \in \mathcal{M}$ induces a volume form which we write as $V(g)$. If, when possible, a Kähler form ω on M is given, we can talk about the space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. the space of Kähler metrics, relative to (M, ω_0).

A by now standard Riemannian structure on the space $\mathcal{H}$ is the so called *Mabuchi metric* introduced in [12]. It was also discovered independently by Semmes [13] who noticed that the geodesics can be viewed as solutions of a degenerate Monge-Ampère equation. It gained great importance after the program by Donaldson [9], which presented a strategy to prove the uniqueness of Extremal metrics by means of the Mabuchi metric. This strategy was carried out by Xiu Xiong Chen and Gang Tian in [4] and [5]; whence the central question on the uniqueness in $\mathcal{H}$ of Extremal metrics, a natural generalization of metrics with constant curvature, has an affirmative answer in the smooth case.

The same problem, when (M, ω) admits conical singularities, was recently studied by the present authors in [6] by means of the same strategy used by Xiu Xiong Chen. The major achievement of Chen in [4] was to solve the Dirichlet problem for the geodesic equation arising from the Mabuchi metric; this is automatic in finite dimensional Riemannian theory, but not in an infinite dimensional environment as the space $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, Chen constructed solutions of regularity $C^{1,1}$. Very recently, in [11], are given examples where this bound on the regularity is sharp.

A second Riemannian structure on $\mathcal{H}$ was hinted in [1] and recently developed in detail by the first author in [2]; it is called *the Calabi metric*. It proved to have nice properties, as a positive constant sectional curvature (while the Mabuchi metric has non-constant non-positive sectional curvature). Moreover, the Calabi metric produces a richer geometry than the Mabuchi one; both for the Cauchy and the Dirichlet problems, there are explicit, real analytic solutions.

The work done in [2] immediately lead a good deal of advances on the Calabi metric. First of all, in [7] is cleverly remarked that the Calabi metric is nothing

---

Key words and phrases. Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 53C55 - 53C22.

The first author is partially supported by INdAM grants. The second author is partially supported by ANR project "Flots et Opérateurs Géométriques" (ANR-07-BLAN-0251-01).

but the restriction of the Ebin metric [10], defined on $\mathcal{M}$, to the sub-manifold $\mathcal{H}$. In the same paper it is also computed the metric completion of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the geodesic distance induced by the Calabi metric. There is no analogous result for the Mabuchi case. The Calabi metric makes the space $\mathcal{H}$ very far to be totally geodesic in $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with the Ebin metric. Thus in [8] a generalized Enib and Calabi metrics are defined; it is presented as the best candidate to make the space $\mathcal{H}$ as totally geodesic in $\mathcal{M}$ as possible.

In this paper we propose a third different Riemannian structure for the space $\mathcal{H}$, that is *the Dirichlet metric*. Its study was addressed in [2]; when M is a Riemann surface, the space $\mathcal{H}$, endowed with the Dirichlet metric, becomes flat.

A motivation for the study of this metric comes from [6]; there the second author showed that the Pseudo-Calabi flow is the gradient flow of the K-energy when $\mathcal{H}$ is endowed precisely with the Dirichlet metric.

Here we prove that the sectional curvature of the Dirihlet metricfor a two plane spanned by tangent vectors $\psi_s, \phi_t \in T_\phi\mathcal{H}$ is bounded above and below by a constant K which depends only on the point ϕ and on one generator ϕ_s. This leds us to conjecture that unlike the case when M is a Riemann surface, the sectional curvature is not flat. We also present a formulation for the geodesic equation and we present the conjecture that the regularity of geodesics (if they exist) is greater than the $C^{1,1}$ regularity of Chen's geodesics and smaller that the C^ω regularity of Calabi's geodesics.

2. Preliminaries

Let's be given a compact smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) without boundary. The space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{H}$ can be described as

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\omega_\phi := \omega + i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi > 0 \,|\, \phi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})\},$$

The *space of volume conformal factors* is

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ u \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \,|\, \int_M e^u \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \int_M \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = V \right\}.$$

The Monge-Ampère map, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} MA : \mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ \phi &\mapsto MA(\phi) := u(\phi) = \log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

is, by the positive answer to *the Calabi volume conjecture* [14], a diffeomorphism between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. Its differential at $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} MA_*[\phi] : T_\phi\mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow T_u\mathcal{S} \\ \psi &\mapsto v(\psi) := \Delta_\phi\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where the tangent spaces are given by $T_\phi\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \,|\, \int_M \psi \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0 \right\}$ and $T_u\mathcal{S} = \left\{ v \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \,|\, \int_M v e^u \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0 \right\}$ (cf. [2]). Here the expression of Δ_ϕ in a local coordinate system is $\Delta_\phi\psi = g_\phi^{j\overline{k}}\psi_{j\overline{k}}$. Another formula which will be useful in the remainder is the derivative of the Laplacian operator. Namely, by means of local coordinates we can define this symmetric expression in s and t

$$H\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} * H\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s} := g_\phi^{j\overline{k}} g_\phi^{p\overline{q}} \frac{\partial^3\phi}{\partial t\partial z^j\partial\overline{z}^q}\frac{\partial^3\phi}{\partial t\partial z^p\partial\overline{z}^k}.$$

Thus we have the formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}\right) = \Delta_\phi\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial s\partial t} - H\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} * H\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}. \tag{2.1}$$

Since the differential is an isomorphism, we call Δ_u^{-1} its inverse; namely,

$$\Delta_u^{-1} : T_u\mathcal{S} \to T_\phi\mathcal{H} \tag{2.2}$$

$$v \mapsto \left(\Delta_u^{-1}v\right)(p) := -\int_M G_u(p,q)v(q)e^{u(q)}\frac{\omega^n(q)}{n!},$$

where G_u is the Green function at u. The use of the Monge-Ampère map, which turned out to be crucial to get most of the results in the study of the Calabi metric, reveals to be very useful again for the Dirichlet metric.

We recall that the Mabuchi [12] metric on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$Ma_\phi(\psi,\psi) := \int_M \psi^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},$$

while the Calabi metric [2], and its isometric expression in $\mathcal{S}$, are

$$Ca_\phi(\psi,\psi) := \int_M (\Delta_\phi\psi)^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M v^2e^u\frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

We now want to introduce the Dirichlet metric. We shall use the point of view of differential forms.

Definition 2.1. We define the space given by

$$\mathcal{A} := \{d\phi\,|\,\omega_\phi \text{ is a Kähler metric in } \mathcal{H}\}.$$

We note that the space $\mathcal{A}$ is a convex, open subset in the space of real 1-forms; indeed, this follows from the fact that the space $\mathcal{H}$ is convex.

Remark 2.1. *We note that the space $\mathcal{A}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{H}$. Consider the map which sends a Kähler metric ω_ϕ to the element $d\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. The map is well defined. Indeed, if two Kähler metrics ω_{ϕ_1} and ω_{ϕ_2} coincide, then they differ by an additive real constant, say $\phi_1 = \phi_2 + C$. Thereby, we have $d\phi_1 = d\phi_2$. The map is surjective by definition of the space $\mathcal{A}$. Concerning the injectivity, if we have $d\phi_1 = d\phi_2$, then we also have $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_1 = \partial\overline{\partial}\phi_2$ and thereby the corresponding Kähler metrics ω_{ϕ_1} and ω_{ϕ_2} coincide.*

The tangent space attached to $\mathcal{A}$ at a point $d\phi$ is given by

$$T_{d\phi}\mathcal{A} = \{d\psi\,|\,\psi \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\},$$

that is, it is the space of exact real 1-forms on M. We are ready to present the central notion of this paper.

Definition 2.2. Now, the Dirichlet metric on $T_{d\phi}\mathcal{A}$ can be given as

$$Di_{d\phi} :=< d\psi, d\chi >_{d\phi}= \int_M (d\psi,d\chi)_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}(\psi_i\chi_{\overline{j}} + \psi_{\overline{j}}\chi_i)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

As our goal is to prove the features of the geometry arising from the Dirichlet metric, it is natural to start with the study of the Levi Civita covariant derivative of that metric.

3. Levi-Civita covariant derivative

As remarked in [9] its existence needs to be proved in our infinite dimensional environment, unlike the finite dimensional Riemannian geometry theory. The proof that the Levi Civita covariant derivative for the Dirichlet metric exists can be found in [2]. Here, for the reader's convenience, we are going to represent those results with the slightly different approach of the previous section. To start off, we introduce a notation which will be of much use here and in the remainder of the paper.

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a smooth real valued function. We define the tensor $C[f]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C[f]_{i\bar{j}} &:= \Delta_\phi f \cdot g_{i\bar{j}} - f_{,i\bar{j}}, \\ C[f]_{j\bar{j}} &:= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $C[f]_{i\bar{j}} = C[f]_{j\bar{i}}$ holds.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and every index i, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (C[f]^{i\bar{j}})_{,\bar{j}} = 0.$$

Proof. The claimed formula comes from the Kähler condition, and computations are easier if we work in normal coordinates. □

For we are dealing with real differential forms, by means of the Hodge theory we decompose any real $1-$form α as

$$\alpha = da + d^*\beta + h_\alpha,$$

where $a \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, β is a real $2-$form and h_α is the harmonic part of α. As the manifold M is compact, we have $dh_\alpha = 0$ and $d^*h_\alpha = 0$. We also shall use the following notation for the natural projections;

$$\pi_d(\alpha) = da, \qquad \pi_{d^*}(\alpha) = d^*\beta, \qquad \pi_{harm}(\alpha) = h_\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

The next result prepares the main one of this section.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $d\phi(t)$ be a smooth curve in the space $\mathcal{A}$ and $d\psi(t)$ is a smooth section on it. Then we have*

$$\int_M (H\psi * H\phi_t - \Delta_\phi\psi \cdot \Delta_\phi\phi_t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0; \tag{3.3}$$

also, letting a $f[\phi_t, \psi] \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Delta_\phi f[\phi_t, \psi] = H\psi * H\phi_t - \Delta_\phi\psi \cdot \Delta_\phi\phi_t$, we have, in the notation of Definition 3.1,

$$df[\phi_t, \psi] = \pi_d\left(\frac{1}{2}C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}}(\psi^i dz^{\bar{j}} + \psi^{\bar{j}} dz^i)\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Concerning (3.3), it is readily seen as

$$0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_M \Delta_\phi \psi \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M (H\psi * H\phi_t - \Delta_\phi \psi \cdot \Delta_\phi \phi_t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

About (3.4) let us call for convenience

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}C[\phi_t]_{i\overline{j}}(\psi^i dz^{\overline{j}} + \psi^{\overline{j}} dz^i);$$

then, let $\tilde{f}$ be any real valued smooth function on M and let us consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \tilde{f} \cdot d^*\alpha \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} &= \int_M (\alpha, df)_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2}C[\phi_t]_{k\overline{j}}\psi^k \tilde{f}_i g_\phi^{i\overline{j}} + \frac{1}{2}C[\phi_t]_{i\overline{l}}\psi^{\overline{l}} \tilde{f}_{\overline{j}} g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}\right] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &= \int_M \tilde{f} \cdot (H\phi_t * H\psi - \Delta_\phi \phi_t \cdot \Delta_\phi \psi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},\end{aligned}$$

where at the last equality we applied Lemma 3.1. From this we infer that

$$d^*\alpha = H\phi_t * H\psi - \Delta_\phi \phi_t \cdot \Delta_\phi \psi;$$

but, in view of (3.3) we deduce that $H\phi_t * H\psi - \Delta_\phi \phi_t \cdot \Delta_\phi \psi = \Delta f$, for some smooth real valued function. Putting our latest equalities together, we get $d^*\alpha = d^*df$, that is $\pi_d(\alpha) = df$ and (3.4) is proved. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

We are now ready to present the covariant derivative for the Dirichlet metric in this environment.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $d\phi(t)$ be a smooth curve in the space $\mathcal{A}$ and $d\psi(t)$ is a smooth section on it; then the formula*

$$D_t d\psi = d\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\pi_d\left(C[\phi_t]_{i\overline{j}}(\psi^i dz^{\overline{j}} + \psi^{\overline{j}} dz^i)\right) \tag{3.5}$$

gives the Levi-Civita covariant derivative for the Dirichlet metric on the space $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. First of all, let us notice that the right hand side of (3.5) is an exact one form; that is the operator D_t takes values in $T_{d\phi}\mathcal{A}$, as it must be.

About the metric compatibility, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} < d\psi, d\chi >_{d\phi} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_M g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}(\psi_i \chi_{\overline{j}} + \psi_{\overline{j}}\chi_i)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &= \int_M -\phi_t^{i\overline{j}}(\psi_i \chi_{\overline{j}} + \psi_{\overline{j}}\chi_i)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &+ \int_M g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}(\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t}\chi_{\overline{j}} + \psi_i \frac{\partial \chi_{\overline{j}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi_{\overline{j}}}{\partial t}\chi_i + \psi_{\overline{j}}\frac{\partial \chi_i}{\partial t})\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &+ \int_M g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}(\psi_i \chi_{\overline{j}} + \psi_{\overline{j}}\chi_i)\Delta_\phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\end{aligned}$$

(3.6)

Inserting the equation (3.5) in the equation (3.6) we have, by means of (3.4), the compatibility, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} < d\psi, d\chi >_{d\phi} = < D_t d\psi, d\chi >_{d\phi} + < d\psi, D_t d\chi >_{d\phi} .$$

In order to show that the expression (3.5) is torsion free, let $d\phi(s,t)$ be a smooth two-parameter family of curves on $\mathcal{A}$, and let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} & D_t d\phi_s - D_s d\phi_t = \\ & = \frac{1}{2}\pi_d \left(C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s^i dz^{\bar{j}} + \phi_s^{\bar{j}} dz^i) - C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}}(\phi_t^i dz^{\bar{j}} + \phi_t^{\bar{j}} dz^i) \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now we claim that, for any $d\tilde{f} \in T_{d\phi}\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$< D_t d\phi_s - D_s d\phi_t, d\tilde{f} >_{d\phi} = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 < D_t d\phi_s - D_s d\phi_t, d\tilde{f} >_{d\phi} \\ & = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s^i dz^{\bar{j}} + \phi_s^{\bar{j}} dz^i) - C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}}(\phi_t^i dz^{\bar{j}} + \phi_t^{\bar{j}} dz^i) \right], d\tilde{f} \right)_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ & = \int_M \left\{ \left(C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_s^i \tilde{f}^{\bar{j}} + C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_s^{\bar{j}} \tilde{f}^i \right) - \left(C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^i \tilde{f}^{\bar{j}} + C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^{\bar{j}} \tilde{f}^i \right) \right\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ & = - \int_M \left\{ \left(C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_s^{i\bar{j}} + C[\phi_t]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_s^{\bar{j}i} \right) \tilde{f} - \left(C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^{i\bar{j}} + C[\phi_s]_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^{\bar{j}i} \right) \tilde{f} \right\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where at the first equality we used the fact that the projections (3.2) are orthogonal with respect to the Dirichlet metric; while at the last equality we integrated by parts and we applied Lemma 3.1. Thereby, claim (3.8) is proved and the expression (3.5) is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative for the space $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with the Dirichlet metric. □

Remark 3.4. *We notice that, with the notation of Definition 3.1, we have the following nice formula*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} < d\psi, d\chi >_{d\phi} = \int_M \left(C[\phi_t](d\psi \otimes d\chi) + < d\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}, d\chi >_{d\phi} + < d\psi, d\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} >_{d\phi} \right) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

Remark 3.5. *In the notation of the space $\mathcal{H}$, the Dirichlet metric is the pairing*

$$< \chi, \psi >_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} (\chi_i \psi_{\bar{j}} + \psi_i \chi_{\bar{j}}) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},$$

where $\psi, \chi \in T_\phi\mathcal{H}$. By means of the uniqueness of the Levi Civita covariant derivative, our expression (3.5) *is equivalent to what we had found in* [2], *that is*

$$\Delta_\phi D_\phi \psi = \Delta_\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \left(\Delta_\phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) (\Delta_\phi \psi) + \frac{\partial (\Delta_\phi \psi)}{\partial t}. \tag{3.9}$$

Building on the above remark, we present an alternative formula for the Levi Civita covariant derivative of the Dirichlet metric, still in the notation of the space $\mathcal{H}$ which will be particularly useful in the computation of the sectional curvature.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\phi = \phi(s,t)$ be a two parameter family of curves in $\mathcal{H}$. The Levi Civita covariant derivative for the Dirichlet metric, whose implicit expression is given in* (3.9)*, can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} D_t\phi_s(p) = \phi_{st}(p) &- \int_M G_\phi(p,q)(\Delta_\phi\phi_s)_t(q)\frac{\omega_\phi^n(q)}{n!} \\ &- \int_M G_\phi(p,q)\Delta_\phi\phi_s(q)\Delta_\phi\phi_t(q)\frac{\omega_\phi^n(q)}{n!} + C(s,t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where the normalization constant $C(s,t)$ is determined by the condition

$$\int_M D_t\phi_s\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0.$$

Remark 3.7. *We don't give an explicit expression of the constant $C(s,t)$ because we will not need it in the computation of the sectional curvature.*

Proof. We apply the operator Δ_u^{-1} to the equation (3.9) and we have the wanted (3.10). ☐

4. Sectional curvature

It is well known by the work of Mabuchi [12], that the Mabuchi metric has non-positive sectional curvature. On the other hand the Calabi metric, as shown in [2], has positive constant sectional curvature. The aim of this section is to show that the sectional curvature for the Dirichlet metric stays in between. This was shown in [2] to be true for Riemann surfaces, for the Dirichlet metric is flat in that case.

To be precise, Mabuchi computed that, if $\phi = \phi(s,t)$ is a smooth two parameter family of curves in the space of Kähler potentials, and the two sections ϕ_t, ϕ_s are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent, then the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by ϕ_s and ϕ_t is expressed in terms of their Poisson bracket

$$\{\phi_s,\phi_t\}_\phi = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\left(g^{i\bar{j}}\frac{\partial\phi_s}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}} - g^{i\bar{j}}\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial\phi_s}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}\right) = Im(\partial\phi_s,\overline{\partial}\phi_t)_\phi.$$

The expression of the sectional curvature K_M for the Mabuchi metric is

$$K_M(\phi_s,\phi_t)_\phi = -\frac{\int_M Im(\partial\phi_s,\overline{\partial}\phi_t)_\phi^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}}{\sqrt{\int_M \phi_s^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}}\sqrt{\int_M \phi_s^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_M \phi_s\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}}}.$$

We read off the above equation that $K_M \leq 0$ for all the linearly independent sections ϕ_s, ϕ_t. On the other side, the first author proved that, for any linearly independent sections ϕ_s, ϕ_t the sectional curvature for the Calabi metric K_C is

$$K_C(\phi_s,\phi_t) = \frac{1}{4Vol};$$

here Vol is the volume of the manifold M. The quantity Vol is constant in the space of Kähler potentials where we are working on. Eugenio Calabi conjectured that the sectional curvature for the Dirichlet metric is bounded above and below respectively by the Mabuchi and the Calabi sectional curvatures. In [2], the first author proved that if M has complex dimension one, then for any linearly independent sections ϕ_s, ϕ_t, the sectional curvature for the Dirichlet metric vanishes;

$$K_D(\phi_s,\phi_t) = 0.$$

As a corollary, for closed Riemann surfaces we have that

$$K_{\text{Mabuchi}} \le K_{\text{Dirichlet}} < K_{\text{Calabi}}.$$

The purpose of this section is to get a result which goes on that direction, when the dimension of the Kähler manifold M is strictly bigger than one. Indeed, the main result of the section will be the following.

Theorem 4.1. *If $d\phi(s,t)$ a smooth two parameter family in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d\phi_s, d\phi_t$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent at $d\phi$, than there exists a positive constant $K = K(\omega_\phi, d\phi_s)$, such that we have*

$$-K(\omega_\phi, d\phi_s) \le K_{\text{ Dirichlet }}(d\psi_s, d\phi_t)_\phi \le K(\omega_\phi, d\phi_s).$$

In the following lemma we simplify further the notation, writing Δ for Δ_ϕ and G for G_u. Let us also use the following notation;

Definition 4.1. Given $d\phi = d\phi(s,t)$ a smooth two-parameter family in $\mathcal{A}$, we call $A = A(s,t)$ and $B = B(s,t)$ the following real valued smooth functions on M.

$$\begin{aligned} &A(s,\tau) := D_\tau \phi_s; \\ &B(s,\tau) := \Delta A(s,\tau) = 2\Delta\phi_{s\tau} + \Delta\phi_\tau \Delta\phi_s - H\phi_\tau * H\phi_s. \end{aligned}$$

Here we expressed in the brackets their dependence on real parameters. In view of Lemma 3.6, A and B are symmetric with respect to the real parameters inside the round brackets.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $d\phi = d\phi(s,t,\sigma,\tau)$ be a four parameter family of curves in the space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{A}$. Then, with the above notation we have*

$$\int_M D_\sigma D_\tau \phi_s \Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M \phi_t \left[2B_\sigma(s,\tau) + \Delta\phi_\sigma B(s,\tau) + H\phi_\sigma * HA(s,\tau)\right] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We compute, with an integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M D_\sigma D_\tau \phi_s \Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M \phi_t \cdot \Delta(D_\sigma D_\tau \phi_s) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M \phi_t \cdot \left[2\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\Delta D_\tau \phi_s + \Delta\phi_\sigma \Delta D_\tau \phi_s + H\phi_\sigma * HD_\tau\phi_s\right] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}; \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

the latter expression of (4.2) is precisely the right hand side of the claimed (4.1), and thus the lemma is proved. □

Before moving further, we fix another piece of notation.

Definition 4.2. Let a be the following real valued smooth function on M;

$$a(s,t) := A(s,t) - 2\phi_{st} = \Delta\phi_\sigma \Delta\phi_\tau - H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_\tau. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.3. *The function $a(s,t)$ is actually a section along the curve ϕ, provided that the sections ϕ_s and ϕ_t are orthogonal with respect to the Dirichlet metric. Indeed, what we have to check is*

$$\int_M a(s,t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0.$$

On the first addendum of $a(s,t)$, we have that

$$\int_M D_s\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = 0,$$

by the very definition of covariant derivative. Also, on the second term of $a(s,t)$ we have

$$\int_M -2\phi_{st}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\int_M -2\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + 2\int_M \phi_t\Delta\phi_s\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

The latter vanishes if and only if ϕ_s and ϕ_t are orthogonal and in this case, $a(s,t)$ is a section.

Lemma 4.4. *With the notation as in (4.3), for a two parameter family of curves $d\phi = d\phi(s,t)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we have the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \{D_sD_t\phi_s - D_tD_s\phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &= \int_M \phi_t\{H\phi_s * Ha(s,t) - \Delta\phi_s\Delta a(s,t) - H\phi_t * Ha(s,s) + \Delta\phi_t\Delta a(s,s)\}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. We write more explicitly the formula (4.1), substituting the definition of $B(\tau)$; what we get is

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M D_\sigma D_\tau\phi_s\Delta\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &= \int_M \phi_t\{2B_\sigma(s,\tau) + \Delta\phi_\sigma\cdot B(s,\tau) + H\phi_\sigma * HA(s,\tau)\}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &= \int_M \phi_t\,\{4\Delta\phi_{s\tau\sigma} - 4H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_{s\tau} - 2H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_\tau\cdot\Delta\phi_s + 2\Delta\phi_{\tau\sigma}\Delta\phi_s\\ &- 2H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_s\cdot\Delta\phi_\tau + 2\Delta\phi_{s\sigma}\Delta\phi_\tau - 2H\phi_{\sigma\tau} * H\phi_s 2H\phi_\tau * H\phi_{s\sigma}\\ &+2\Delta\phi_\sigma\Delta\phi_{s\tau} + \Delta\phi_\sigma\Delta\phi_\tau\Delta\phi_s - \Delta\phi_\sigma H\phi_\tau * H\phi_s + H\phi_\sigma * HA(s,\tau)\}\,\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, using (4.5), by the mutual cancellation of the terms symmetric in σ and τ, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \{D_\sigma D_\tau \phi_s - D_\tau D_\sigma \phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &\int_M \phi_t \{H\phi_\sigma * H(A(s,\tau) - 2\phi_{s\tau}) - \Delta\phi_\tau H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_s\\ &-H\phi_\tau * H(A(s,\sigma) - 2\phi_{s\sigma}) + \Delta\phi_\sigma H\phi_\tau * H\phi_s\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\ &= \int_M \phi_t \{H\phi_\sigma * Ha(s,\tau) - \Delta\phi_\tau H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_s\\ &-H\phi_\tau * Ha(s,\sigma) + \Delta\phi_\sigma H\phi_\tau * H\phi_s\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.6) we immediately infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \{D_s D_t \phi_s - D_t D_s \phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &\int_M \phi_t\{H\phi_s * Ha(s,t) - \Delta\phi_t H\phi_s * H\phi_s - H\phi_t * Ha(s,s) + \Delta\phi_s H\phi_t * H\phi_s\}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now, it's worth noticing that

$$-\Delta\phi_s H\phi_t * H\phi_s + \Delta\phi_t H\phi_s * H\phi_s = \Delta\phi_s \Delta a(s,t) - \Delta\phi_t \Delta a(s,s); \tag{4.8}$$

the latter claim is obvious by taking the difference of

$$\Delta\phi_s \Delta a(s,t) = (\Delta\phi_s)^2 \Delta\phi_t - \Delta\phi_s H\phi_t * H\phi_s$$

and

$$\Delta\phi_t \Delta a(s,s) = \Delta\phi_t (\Delta\phi_s)^2 - \Delta\phi_t H\phi_s * H\phi_s.$$

Thus, combining (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \{D_s D_t \phi_s - D_t D_s \phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} =\\ &= \int_M \phi_t\{H\phi_s * Ha(s,t) - \Delta\phi_s \Delta a(s,t) - H\phi_t * Ha(s,s) + \Delta\phi_t \Delta a(s,s)\}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},\end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the claimed formula (4.4). This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 4.5. *In the case of a Riemannian surface, for any two functions* χ *and* ψ*, we have that*

$$\Delta\psi\Delta\chi = H\psi * H\chi.$$

When we insert this fact in (4.4) *we find back the conclusion, already got in* [2], *that the space of Kähler potentials endowed with the Dirichlet metric is flat.*

A consequence of formula (4.4) is the following nice expression for the numerator of the sectional curvature.

Lemma 4.6. *The following formula holds for a smooth two parameter family of curves $d\phi = d\phi(s,t)$ in the space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{A}$;*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \{D_s D_t \phi_s - D_t D_s \phi_s\} \Delta\phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_M |da(s,t)|^2_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \frac{1}{2}\int_M (da(s,s), da(t,t))_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

where we recall that the symmetric expression $a(\sigma,\tau)$ satisfies

$$\Delta a(\sigma,\tau) = \Delta\phi_\sigma \Delta\phi_\tau - H\phi_\sigma * H\phi_\tau.$$

Proof. We first consider this part of the right hand side of (4.4);

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_M (\Delta a(s,s)\Delta\phi_t \cdot \phi_t - Ha(s,s) * H\phi_t \cdot \phi_t) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\
&= \int_M (a(s,s)_{k\overline{k}} \Delta\phi_t \cdot \phi_t - a(s,s)_{k\overline{l}} \phi_{tl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_t) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\
&= \int_M (-a(s,s)_k (\Delta\phi_t)_{\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_t - a(s,s)_k \Delta\phi_t \cdot \phi_{t\overline{k}} \\
&\qquad + a(s,s)_k \phi_{tl\overline{kl}} \cdot \phi_t + a(s,s)_k \phi_{tl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}}) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\
&= \int_M (a(s,s)(\Delta\phi_t) \cdot \phi_{tk\overline{k}} + a(s,s)(\Delta\phi_t)_k \cdot \phi_{t\overline{k}} \\
&\qquad - a(s,s)\phi_{tl\overline{k}k} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}} - a(s,s)\phi_{tl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}k}) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\
&= \int_M (a(s,s)(\Delta\phi_t)^2 - a(s,s) H\phi_t * H\phi_t) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\
&= \int_M a(s,s)\Delta a(t,t) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M (da(s,s), da(t,t))_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

Concerning the other part of the right hand side of (4.4), we similarly compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M (-\Delta a(s,t)\Delta\phi_s \cdot \phi_t + H a(s,t) * H\phi_s \cdot \phi_t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M (-a(s,t)_{k\overline{k}}\Delta\phi_s \cdot \phi_t + a(s,t)_{k\overline{l}}\phi_{sl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M (a(s,t)_k(\Delta\phi_s)_{\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_t + a(s,t)_k\Delta\phi_s \cdot \phi_{t\overline{k}}\\
&\qquad - a(s,t)_k\phi_{sl\overline{k}\overline{l}} \cdot \phi_t - a(s,t)_k\phi_{sl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}})\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M (-a(s,t)(\Delta\phi_s)_{k\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_t - a(s,t)(\Delta\phi_s)_{\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_{tk}\\
&\qquad + a(s,t)\phi_{sl\overline{k}k} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}} + a(s,t)\phi_{sl\overline{k}} \cdot \phi_{t\overline{l}k})\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M (-a(s,t)(\Delta\phi_s)^2 + a(s,t)H\phi_s * H\phi_t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M -a(s,t)\Delta a(s,t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2}\int_M (da(s,t), da(s,t))_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.11) we get the claimed formula (4.9). □

We are now going to get another equivalent description of the same quantity already considered in the previous lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *We have for a two parameter family of curves in the space of Kähler metrics $\mathcal{A}$ $d\phi = d\phi(s,t)$, the formula (which makes use of the piece of notation introduced in Definition 3.1)*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \{D_s D_t\phi_s - D_t D_s\phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\\
&= \int_M C[a(s,t)]^{i\overline{j}}(\phi_s)_i(\phi_t)_{\overline{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_M C[a(s,s)]^{i\overline{j}}(\phi_t)_i(\phi_t)_{\overline{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Plug in the formula (4.4) the definition of $C[a(\cdot,\cdot)]^{i\overline{j}}$, and then integrate by parts. The equation (4.12) will be gotten after applying Lemma 3.1. □

In what follows now, our aim is to further simplify the formula (4.12) without loss of generality.

Lemma 4.8. *The following formula holds*

$$\left|\int_M C[a(s,t)]^{i\overline{j}}(\phi_s)_i(\phi_t)_{\overline{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\right| \leq K_1(\phi_s)\int_M |\nabla\phi_t|^2_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!},\tag{4.13}$$

where K_1 is a positive constant, depending on the tensor $C[\phi_s]$.

Proof. We notice that, using integration by parts and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_M C[a(s,t)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s)_i(\phi_t)_{\bar{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M C[a(s,t)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s)_{i\bar{j}}\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \tag{4.14}$$

Next, we remark that

$$C[a(s,t)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s)_{i\bar{j}} = C[\phi_s]^{i\bar{j}}(a(s,t))_{i\bar{j}}; \tag{4.15}$$

indeed, by definition

$$C[a(s,t)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_s)_{i\bar{j}} = \Delta a(s,t)\Delta\phi_s - Ha(s,t) * H\phi_s,$$

and by its symmetric structure we infer (4.15). Also, we have

$$\left|\int_M C[\phi_s]^{i\bar{j}}(a(s,t))_{i\bar{j}}\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\right| \leq K_3(C[\phi_s])\|\nabla a(s,t)\|\|\nabla\phi_t\|. \tag{4.16}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_M a(s,t)\Delta a(s,t)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\right| &= \left|\int_M C[\phi_s]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_{ti})(a_{\bar{j}})\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\right|\\ &\leq \epsilon\int_M|\nabla a(s,t)|^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + K_4(\epsilon, C[\phi_s])\int_M|\nabla\phi_t|^2_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\int_M|\nabla a(s,t)|^2\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \leq K_5(\phi_s)\int_M|\nabla\phi_t|^2_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, the combination of equations (4.16) and (4.17) gives the claimed formula (4.13), whence the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.9. *The following formula, concerning the second term appearing on the right hand side of* (4.12)*, holds*

$$\left|\int_M C[a(s,s)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_t)_i(\phi_t)_{\bar{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\right| \leq K_2(\phi_s)\int_M|\nabla\phi_t|^2_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. We immediately estimate

$$\left|\int_M C[a(s,s)]^{i\bar{j}}(\phi_t)_i(\phi_t)_{\bar{j}}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}\right| \leq K_6(C[a(s,s])\int_M|\nabla\phi_t|^2_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

Then, as $a(s,s)$ only depends on ϕ_s, we get that the constant $K_6(C[a(s,s])$ is equal to some $K_2(\phi_s)$ and the proof is achieved. □

We are now in position to prove the main result of the section

Proof of Theorem 4.1. As we want to compute the sectional curvature of a two plane, we have the freedom to replace the two sections $d\phi_s$ and $d\phi_t$ by two other sections which span the same plane and which are orthonormal. The latter is achieved simply by employing the Gram-Schmidt method. We still call the two orthogonal sections $d\phi_s$ and $d\phi_t$. Thus, the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by $d\phi_s$ and $d\phi_t$ is

$$K_D(d\phi_s, d\phi_t) = \int_M\{D_sD_t\phi_s - D_tD_s\phi_s\}\Delta\phi_t\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}$$

Formula (4.12), combined with formulas (4.13) and (4.18), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\int_M \{D_s D_t \phi_s - D_t D_s \phi_s\} \Delta \phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}| \\
& \leq (K_1(\phi_s) + K_2(\phi_s)) \cdot \int_M |\nabla \phi_t|^2_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = K(\phi_s), \qquad (4.19) \\
& \qquad (4.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the orthonormality assumption. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.10. *In view of formula 4.9, we notice that whenever at some point $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ there are two $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent tangent vectors ϕ_s, ϕ_t such that $a(s,s)$ or $a(t,t)$ is constant, then $K_D(\phi_s, \phi_t)_\phi$ is bigger or equal to zero. On the opposite side, whenever $a(s,t)$ is constant, then $K_D(\phi_s, \phi_t)_\phi$ is less or equal to zero. This fact suggests that the Dirichlet metric has curvature whose sign changes. If this conjecture were confirmed, the consequence would be that the Dirichlet metric behaves differently both from the Mabuchi metric, whose sectional curvature is non positive, and from the Calabi metric, whose sectional curvature is positive.*

Remark 4.11. *We notice here that the formula* (4.3) *resembles but is slightly different from the complex Hessian equation; a the difference is that ϕ_s and ϕ_t are not convex as in the enviroment of that equation. As point out in the previous remark, solving the modified complex Hessian equations $a(s,s) = 0$, $a(s,t) = 0$ or $a(t,t) = 0$ would detect the sign of the sectional curvature of the Dirichlet metric. This gives a motivation to approach the study of this new kind of partial differential equations.*

5. Geodesic equation

Let us begin with two definitions which are by now classical, also in this infinite dimensional environment.

Definition 5.1. Let $d\phi = d\phi(t)$ be a smooth path in $\mathcal{A}$, with $t \in [a,b]$. We define the energy of $d\phi$ as

$$Engy(d\phi) = \int_a^b \left\{ \int_M (d\phi, d\phi)_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \right\} dt.$$

Also, we define the length of the same arc $d\phi(t)$ as

$$Lgth(d\phi) = \int_a^b \left\{ \int_M (d\phi, d\phi)_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \right\}^{1/2} dt.$$

Remark 5.1. *As well as in the finite dimensional Riemannian geometry theory, there still holds that geodesic arcs can be defined equivalently both as extremal points of the Energy functional and as solutions of the equation $D_t d\phi_t = 0$. The argument goes precisely in the same vein , that is by analyzing the first variation formula (cf.* [12]*).*

Definition 5.2. A bijective map $\lambda : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called an isometry of $\mathcal{A}$ if, for every smooth path $d\phi(t)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, then its image $\lambda \circ d\phi(t)$ is still a smooth path, and moreover

$$Lgth(\lambda \circ d\phi) = Lgth(d\phi).$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $h \in Aut^0(M)$ be any holomorphic automorphism of M in the connected component of the identity. Then, the mapping $h^* : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, such that $d\phi \mapsto h^*(d\phi)$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Consider a smooth arc $d\phi = d\phi(t)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, with $t \in [a, b]$. Denote by $d\chi$ the element of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $h^*\omega = \omega + i\partial\overline{\partial}\chi$. Define $d\xi := d\chi + h^*d\phi$. Then,

$$\omega + i\partial\overline{\partial}\xi = \omega + i\partial(d\chi + h^*d\phi) = h^*(\omega + i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi) > 0,$$

which shows that $d\xi$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. Also, when we consider the time derivative, there holds $d\xi_t = h^*d\phi_t$; whence

$$\begin{aligned} Lgth(h^*d\phi) &= \int_a^b \left\{ \int_M (d\xi_t, d\xi_t)_{g_\xi} \frac{\omega_\xi^n}{n!} \right\}^{1/2} dt \\ &= \int_a^b \left\{ \int_M (h^*d\phi_t, h^*d\phi_t)_{g_\phi} h^* \left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \right) \right\}^{1/2} dt = Lgth(d\phi), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 5.3. *The analogous result holds for the Mabuchi metric (cf.* [12]*).*

We are now going to prove that another result in [12] still holds in our setting, that is for the Dirichlet metric.

Definition 5.3. Let X be an holomorphic vector field on M; $X \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(TM))$. We denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real vector field defined by $X_{\mathbb{R}} := X + \overline{X}$. Also, we denote by $L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$ the Lie derivative of ω with respect to $X_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let X be an holomorphic vector field on M such that $L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\omega) = 0$. Let be given a smooth path $d\phi = d\phi(t)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(d\phi) = 0$ for all t. Then*

$$L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(D_t d\psi_t) = D_t(L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}} d\psi),$$

for all the smooth sections $d\psi$ along the path $d\phi$.

Proof. Recall that, by formula (3.5) we have

$$D_t d\psi = d\psi_t + \frac{1}{2}\pi_d \left(C[\phi_t]_{i\overline{j}} (\psi^i dz^{\overline{j}} + \psi^{\overline{j}} dz^i) \right).$$

So, if we denote $\uparrow \partial\psi = \psi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$, then we write the covariant operator as

$$D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\pi_d \left((C[\phi_t], \uparrow \partial\psi) + (C[\phi_t], \uparrow \overline{\partial}\psi), \right),$$

where $C[\phi_t] = -\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_t + \Delta_\phi \phi_t \omega$. For $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and π_d commute with D_t, it remains to check that

$$L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(C, \uparrow \psi) = (C, \uparrow \partial(X_{\mathbb{R}}\psi)).$$

The remark to make here is that $L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}C = 0$. Once achieved the claim, the above formula follows automatically. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}C &= -L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_t + L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\Delta_\phi\phi_t)\omega \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\partial\overline{\partial}(\mathbb{R}\phi) + L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Delta_\phi\phi_t + \phi_t^{i\overline{j}}\phi_{ti\overline{j}})\right)\omega \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(X_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta\phi_t) + \frac{1}{2}L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\phi_t^{i\overline{j}}\phi_{ti\overline{j}}))\omega = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used repeatedly the assumptions on $X_{\mathbb{R}}\phi = 0$ and $L_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\omega) = 0$. Note that the argument for $\uparrow \overline{\partial}\psi$ goes exactly the same way. Whence, the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

Let us also recall other classical definitions, rephrased for the space $\mathcal{A}$, in view of the next proposition.

Definition 5.4. Let $d\phi = d\phi(t)$ be a smooth path in $\mathcal{A}$, with $t \in [0,1]$. We denote by $S = S(d\phi)$ the scalar curvature of the metric ω_ϕ. It is a very well known fact that the scalar $\underline{S} := \frac{1}{Vol}\int_M S(d\phi)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}$ is constant for $d\phi$ which ranges in $\mathcal{A}$. We define $f := f(d\phi)$ as the zero-mean function satisfying $\Delta_\phi f = S - \underline{S}$. Finally, the K-energy (or Mabuchi energy) is defined as

$$\nu(d\phi) := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_M (d\phi_t, df)_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}dt.$$

Proposition 5.5. *Let $d\phi = d\phi(t)$ be a geodesic for the Dirichlet metric, with $t \in [0,1]$. Then, the K-energy is convex at a point $d\phi(t_0)$ which corresponds to a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric.*

Proof. By means of the metric compatibility of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative, we compute

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\nu(d\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \left[(D_t d\phi_t, df)_{g_\phi} + (d\phi_t, D_t df)_{g_\phi}\right]\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

The first addendum vanishes since, by assumption on $d\phi$, there holds $D_t d\phi_t = 0$. So we reduced the question to the study of

$$I := \int_M (d\phi_t, D_t df)_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

We preliminarily compute, using the very definition of D_t,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_M (d\phi_t, d\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + i_{ReX}C)_{g_\phi}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M \left[-\phi_t\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4}C_{i\overline{j}}(\phi_t^{\overline{j}}f^i + \phi_t^i f^{\overline{j}})\right]\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M \left[-\phi_t\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_t^{i\overline{j}}\phi_{ti\overline{j}} - (\Delta_\phi\phi_t)^2)f\right]\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \qquad (5.1) \end{aligned}$$

Our next goal is to analyze the addendum $\int_M -\phi_t\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}$. First, note that we can write the scalar curvature both as $S = g_\phi^{i\overline{j}}R_{i\overline{j}}$, where $R_{i\overline{j}}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor of ω_ϕ. Time-differentiating formula $S = \Delta_\phi f + \underline{S}$, we get $\Delta_\phi f_t =$

$S_t + \phi_t^{i\bar{j}} f_{i\bar{j}}$. Also, time-differentiating $S = g^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}$, we get $S_t = -\Delta_\phi^2 \phi_t - \phi_t^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}$. Inserting the latter expression into the former one, we end up with

$$\Delta_\phi f_t = -\Delta_\phi^2 \phi_t - \phi_t^{i\bar{j}} (R_{i\bar{j}} - f_{i\bar{j}}).$$

Thus, we can rewrite the term we are presently concerned about as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M -\phi_t \Delta_\phi f_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M -\phi_t \{-\Delta_\phi^2 \phi_t + \phi_t^{i\bar{j}} (f_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}})\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_M \{(\Delta_\phi \phi_t)^2 - (R_{i\bar{j}} - f_{i\bar{j}}) \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where at the last equality we used the fact that the quantity $R_{i\bar{j}} - f_{i\bar{j}}$ is divergence free; this can be seen, using the second Bianchi identity, by $(R_{i\bar{j}} - f_{i\bar{j}})_i = S_{\bar{j}} - S_{\bar{j}} = 0$. Now, our aim is to expand the second term of (5.1). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_M (\phi_t^{i\bar{j}} \phi_{ti\bar{j}} - (\Delta_\phi \phi_t)^2) f \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M [\phi_t^{i\bar{j}} f_{i\bar{j}} - \Delta_\phi \phi_t \Delta_\phi f] \phi_t \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M [-f_{i\bar{j}} + (\Delta_\phi f) g_{i\bar{j}}] \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where the argument behind the first equality is exactly the same as in formula (4.10). We plug (5.2) and (5.3) in (5.1) and we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_M [(\Delta_\phi \phi_t)^2 - (R_{i\bar{j}} - f_{i\bar{j}}) \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + \frac{1}{2} \int_M [-f_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}} + (S - \underline{S}) \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_M [(\Delta_\phi \phi_t)^2 - R_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + \frac{1}{2} \int_M [f_{i\bar{j}} \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}} + (S - \underline{S}) \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}] \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \{2|D^2 \phi_t|^2 + [f_{i\bar{j}} + (S - \underline{S}) g_{i\bar{j}}] \phi_t^i \phi_t^{\bar{j}}\} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where at the last equality we employed the Ricci identity and we wrote $D^2 \phi_t$ which stands, in a coordinate chart, for $\partial_{ij} \phi_t$, that is, pure second derivatives. The contraction of the tensor is by means of the Riemannian metric $(g_\phi)_{ij}$. In particular, the above formula shows that, if $d\phi(t_0)$ induces a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric, that is $S(d\phi(t_0)) = \underline{S}$ and $f(d\phi(t_0)) = 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \nu(d\phi)(t_0) \geq 0,$$

which completes the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 5.6. *It is pointed out in by Xiuxiong Chen and the second author (see* [6], *Remark* 3.2*) that the Pseudo-Calabi flow is the gradient flow of the K-energy for the Dirichlet metric. Here, we want to notice that also the (normalized) Kähler Ricci flow is the gradient flow of the K-energy in the first Chern class for the Dirichlet metric. Indeed, the first variation of the K energy is, just from the very definition,*

$$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t}(d\phi) = \int_M (d\phi_t, df)_{g_\phi} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}.$$

Moreover the normalized Kähler Ricci flow at the potentials level is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi = \log \left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} \right) + \phi + h_\omega + c(t).$$

Then, taking $\partial\overline{\partial}$ on both sides and using the Maximum Principle, we get the claimed conclusion.

From (3.9), a natural step is to consider the geodesic equation, that is the next topic of our discussion. Our aim is to prove the following result.

Proposition 5.7. *The geodesic equation for the Dirichlet metric is, in the notation of the space $\mathcal{S}$,*

$$4e^{-\frac{u}{2}}\frac{\partial^2 e^{\frac{u}{2}}}{\partial t^2}+\Delta_\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_u^{-1}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0. \tag{5.4}$$

Remark 5.8. *In [2] the geodesic equation for the Calabi metric was expressed as*

$$4e^{-\frac{u}{2}}\frac{\partial^2 e^{\frac{u}{2}}}{\partial t^2}+1=0.$$

Notice also that the geodesic equation can be written as

$$4e^{-\frac{u}{2}}\frac{\partial^2 e^{\frac{u}{2}}}{\partial t^2}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_\phi\right)\Delta_u^{-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0.$$

Proof. Building on the euqation (3.9), the geodesic equation for a curve $d\phi\in\mathcal{A}$ can be written equivalently as

$$\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}+\left(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)^2+\frac{\partial(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t})}{\partial t}=0. \tag{5.5}$$

As in the case of the Calabi metric, we would like to transfer the equation (5.5) into the space $\mathcal{S}$ of volume-form conformal functions. The change of variables given by the Calabi's map $\omega_\phi^n=e^u\omega^n$; it entails

$$\left(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)\omega_\phi^n=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}e^u\omega^n. \tag{5.6}$$

The latter expression is not enough if we aim to translate the first addendum of (5.5) in the notation of the space $\mathcal{S}$. Thus we make the Green function of ϕ appear; indeed, as we discussed in the preliminaries, the operator Δ_ϕ is an isomorphism, and so we denote by Δ_u^{-1} its inverse which is, from (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_u^{-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}:=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(p)&=-\int_M G_\phi(p,q)\left(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)(q)\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}(q)\\ =-\int_M G_u(p,q)\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t}(q)\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(q)&=-\int_M G_u(p,q)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(q)e^u(q)\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(q).\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Differentiating the above identity we get

$$\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}(p)=-\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_M G_u(p,q)\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t}(q)\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(q)\right).$$

Since the second and the third addenda of (5.5) are readily translated as

$$\left(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)^2=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2;\qquad\frac{\partial(\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t})}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},$$

then the geodesic equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(p)+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2(p)+\Delta_\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_u^{-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(p)=0. \tag{5.8}$$

Using (5.7), we can rewrite (5.8) as

$$2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(p) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2 (p) + \Delta_\phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_u^{-1}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(p) = 0.$$

Thus, we get

$$4e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \frac{\partial^2 e^{\frac{u}{2}}}{\partial t^2} + \Delta_\phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_u^{-1}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0,$$

which is precisely the wanted (5.4). □

References

[1] E. Calabi, *The variation of Kähler metrics. I. The structure of the space; II. A minimum problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1954), 167-168.

[2] S. Calamai, *The Calabi metric for the space of Kähler metrics*, to appear in Math. Ann.

[3] S. Calamai and K. Zheng, *Uniqueness of the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics with conical singularities*, preprint.

[4] X.X. Chen, *The space of Kähler metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **61** (2002), 173–193.

[5] X.X. Chen and G. Tian , *Geometry of Kahler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. No. 107 (2008), 1–107.

[6] X.X. Chen and K. Zheng, *The pseudo-Calabi Flow*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal), arXiv:1004.2663.

[7] B. Clarke and Y.A. Rubinstein, *Ricci flow and the completion of the space of Káhler metrics*, preprint, arxiv 1102.3787.

[8] B. Clarke and Y.A. Rubinstein, *Conformal deformations of the Ebin metric and a generalized Calabi metric on the space of Riemannian metrics*, to appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire.

[9] S.K. Donaldson, *Symmetric spaces, Kähler geometry and Hamiltonian dynamics*, In: "Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar", 13–33, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **196** Amer.Math. Soc., Providence, RI , 1999.

[10] D.G. Ebin, *The manifold of Riemannian metrics*, in: Global analysis (Chern et al., Eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure and Applied Mathematics (1970), pp.11-40.

[11] L. Lempert and L. Vivas, *Geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*, preprint, arXiv:1105.2188.

[12] T. Mabuchi, *Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987), 227–252.

[13] S. Semmes, *Complex Monge-Ampère and symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **114** (1999), 495–550.

[14] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci Curvature of a Compact Kähler Manifold and the Complex Monge-Ampère Equation*, Comm. P. And Appl. Math., 31(1978), 339-411.

Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 - 56126 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `simocala@gmail.com`

Institut Fourier, Université Joseph Fourier (Grenoble I), UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, BP 74, 38402 Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France
E-mail address: `kai.zheng@ujf-grenoble.fr`